

# Chapter 1 continued

Wouter J. Den Haan

University of Amsterdam

November 20, 2010

# Environment of the competitive equilibrium

- Households:
  - maximize discounted utility
  - current-period utility depends on consumption and leisure
  - own the capital stock, capital rented out each period at rate  $r_t$
  - time endowment is equal to 1 which is split between leisure and working
  - wage rate is equal to  $w_t$
- Firms:
  - maximize NPV of profits
  - competitive input and output markets

# Firm problem & FOC conditions

$$\max_{k_t^j, h_t^j} \theta \left(k_t^j\right)^\alpha \left(h_t^j\right)^{1-\alpha} - r_t k_t^j - w_t h_t^j$$

$$\alpha \theta \left(\frac{k_t^j}{h_t^j}\right)^{\alpha-1} = r_t$$

$$(1 - \alpha) \theta \left(\frac{k_t^j}{h_t^j}\right)^\alpha = w_t$$

- CRS  $\implies$  firm size not determined
- Use representative firm without loss of generality
  - $\bar{k}_t = \sum_{j=1}^J k_t^j$  and  $\bar{h}_t = \sum_{j=1}^J h_t^j$

$$\alpha \theta_t \left( \frac{\bar{k}_t}{\bar{h}_t} \right)^{\alpha-1} = r_t$$

$$(1 - \alpha) \theta_t \left( \frac{\bar{k}_t}{\bar{h}_t} \right)^{\alpha} = w_t$$

- The firm thinks of these equations as follows:
  - given values for  $r_t$  and  $w_t$  choose  $\bar{k}_t$  and  $\bar{h}_t$ .

# Individual problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_{t+\tau}^i, h_{t+\tau}^i, k_{t+1+\tau}^i\}_{\tau=0}^{\infty}} & E \left[ \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau} u(c_{t+\tau}^i, 1 - h_{t+\tau}^i) \mid I_t \right] \\ \text{s.t. } & c_{t+\tau}^i + k_{t+1+\tau}^i \leq r_t k_{t+\tau}^i + w_t h_{t+\tau}^i + (1 - \delta) k_{t+\tau}^i \\ & k_{t+1+\tau}^i \geq 0 \\ & k_t \text{ predetermined} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial u(c_t^i, 1 - h_t^i)}{\partial c_t^i} = \lambda_t^i$$

$$\lambda_t^i w_t = - \frac{\partial u(c_t^i, 1 - h_t^i)}{\partial h_t^i}$$

$$-\lambda_t^i + \beta E_t \left\{ \lambda_{t+1}^i [r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] \right\} = 0$$

$$c_t^i + k_{t+1}^i = \theta_t (k_t^i)^\alpha (h_t^i)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)k_t^i$$

- Equations for  $\bar{k}_t$  and  $\bar{h}_t$  from the firm problem taking  $r_t$  and  $w_t$  as given
- Equations for  $k_t^i, h_t^i, c_t^i$ , and  $\lambda_t^i$  from the household problem taking  $r_t$  and  $w_t$  as given  $\forall i$
- Equilibrium conditions

$$\bar{k}_t = \sum_i k_t^i$$

$$\bar{h}_t = \sum_i h_t^i$$

Suppose that all agents start with the same capital stock  $\implies$  they also make the same choices

$$k_t^i = k_t, h_t^i = h_t, c_t^i = c_t, \text{ and } \lambda_t^i = \lambda_t$$

## 6 equations in 6 unknowns

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u(c_t, 1-h_t)}{\partial c_t} &= \lambda_t \\ \lambda_t w_t &= -\frac{\partial u(c_t, 1-h_t)}{\partial h_t} \\ -\lambda_t + \beta E_t \{ \lambda_{t+1} [r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] \} &= 0 \\ c_t + k_{t+1} &= \theta_t (k_t)^\alpha (h_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k_t \\ r_t &= \alpha \theta_t \left( \frac{\bar{k}_t}{\bar{h}_t} \right)^{\alpha-1} = \alpha \theta_t \left( \frac{lk_t}{lh_t} \right)_t^{\alpha-1} = \alpha \theta_t \left( \frac{k_t}{h_t} \right)_t^{\alpha-1} \\ w_t &= (1-\alpha)\theta_t \left( \frac{\bar{k}_t}{\bar{h}_t} \right)^\alpha = (1-\alpha)\theta_t \left( \frac{k_t}{h_t} \right)^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

- unknowns:  $c_t, k_{t+1}, h_t, \lambda_t, r_t, w_t$
- unknowns also could have been:  $\bar{c}_t, \bar{k}_t, \bar{h}_t, \bar{\lambda}_t, r_t, w_t$

# Definition of equilibrium

**Definition (competitive equilibrium):** *A competitive equilibrium consists of*

- *a consumption function,  $c(k, \bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *a labor supply function,  $h(k, \bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *a capital function,  $k_{+1}(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *an aggregate per capita consumption function,  $\bar{c}(\bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *aggregate per capita capital function,  $\bar{k}_{+1}(\bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *aggregate per capita labor supply function,  $\bar{h}(\bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*
- *wage function,  $w(\bar{k}, \theta)$ , and*
- *rental rate,  $r(\bar{k}, \theta)$ ,*

## Definition of equilibrium (continued)

- solve the household's optimization problem,
- solve the firm's optimization problem,
- are consistent with each other, that is,
  - $\bar{c}(\bar{k}, \theta) = c(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \theta)$ ,
  - $\bar{k}_{+1}(\bar{k}, \theta) = k_{+1}(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \theta)$ , and
  - $\bar{h}(\bar{k}, \theta) = h(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \theta) \forall \bar{k}, \forall \theta$
- satisfy the aggregate budget constraint:
  - $\bar{c}(\bar{k}, \theta) + \bar{k}_{+1}(\bar{k}, \theta) = \theta \bar{k}^\alpha \bar{h}^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \bar{k}$ .

# Representative agent and aggregate

- the equation above distinguish between the choices and state variables of the individual,  $c$ ,  $k_{+1}$ , and  $h$ , and the aggregate variables,  $\bar{c}$ ,  $\bar{k}_{+1}$ , and  $\bar{h}$ .
- In a representative agent framework these are the same and in practice we only solve for  $\bar{c}(\bar{k})$ ,  $\bar{k}_{+1}(\bar{k})$ , and  $\bar{h}(\bar{k})$ .
- But, it is important to understand that the complete solution allows us to answer the question what will happen with (say) an individual agent's consumption when his individual capital stock increases
  - Note that  $\bar{k}$  does not change when the capital stock of one individual increases (because the effect of each individual on the economy is nil)
- Instead of using aggregate variables you can also express them as per capita variables

What is a social planner?

- Maximizes utility but only worries about feasibility and not prices and transfers
- That is, the social planner problem for this CE is the same as our Robinson Crusoe economy
- If you substitute out the rental rate and the wage rate, then you see that the equations of the competitive equilibrium are the same as those of the social planner.

# Many different agents

## Environment

- Endowment economy
- Agents are ex ante the same but receive different realizations of the endowment
- Complete asset markets

## Result:

- Economy can be represented with a representative agent economy

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c^i, b_{+1}^{1,i}, \dots, b_{+1}^{J,i}} & \frac{(c^i)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \text{Ev}(b_{+1}^{1,i}, \dots, b_{+1}^{J,i}) \\ \text{s.t.} & c^i + \sum_{j=1}^J q^j b_{+1}^{j,i} = y^i + \sum_{j=1}^J l(j^*) b_{+1}^{j,i} \\ & b_{+1}^{j,i} > \bar{b} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

# First-order conditions

$$q^j (c^i)^{-\gamma} = \beta (c_{+1}^{j,i})^{-\gamma} \text{prob}(j) \quad \forall j$$

$$c^j = \left( \frac{\beta \text{prob}(j)}{q^j} \right)^{-1/\gamma} c_{+1}^{j,i} \quad \forall j \quad \text{or}$$

$$C = \left( \frac{\beta \text{prob}(j)}{q^j} \right)^{-1/\gamma} C_{+1}^j \quad \forall j,$$

$$q^j (C)^{-\gamma} = \beta (C_{+1}^j)^{-\gamma} \quad \forall j$$

$$q^j (Y)^{-\gamma} = \beta (Y_{+1}^j)^{-\gamma} \quad \forall j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{C, B_{+1}^1, \dots, B_{+1}^J} & \frac{(C)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta E v(B_{+1}^1, \dots, B_{+1}^J) \\ \text{s.t. } & C^i + \sum_{j=1}^J q^j B_{+1}^j = Y + \sum_{j=1}^J I(j^*) B^j \\ & B_{+1}^j > \bar{b} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Any difference?